Message Text

PAGE 01 BOHEMI 19023 01 OF 02 261824Z

44

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 CCO-00 /026 W

----- 020901

P 241750Z JUL 76 FM USDEL BOHEMIAN TO AMEMBASSY MANILA PRIORITY INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 0000

SECRETSECTION 1 OF 2 SECTO 19023

EXDIS

EO 11652: GDS
TAGS: MARR, RP
SUBJ: PHILIPPINE BASE NEGOTIATIONS: ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
SUBMITTED BY ROMUALDEZ JUNE 21

REF MANILA 9829, BAGUIO 0029

- 1. IN DRAFTING REPLY WE HAVE TRIED TO PROVIDE CANNOID RESPONSES TO COMPLEX AND SENSITIVE QUESTIONS BUT AT SAME TIME AVOID ISSUES WHERE OUR POSITIONS MAY DIFFER, SUCH AS APPLICABILITY OF MDT OBLIGATIONS TO SPRATLYS OR WHERE GOP MIGHT FIND SUPPORT FOR FIRMER BARGAINING STANCE. WE ARE ALSO MINDFUL OF POSSIBILITY THAT REPLY COULD EVENTUALLY LEAK TO PRESS.
- 2. IN LIGHT OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS AND IN ORDER TO OPTIMIZE THE REACTION OF ROMUALDEZ AS CONTAINED IN THE FOLLOWING TEXT. YOU COULD IN ADDITION PROPOSE TO ROMUALDEZ THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO MEET WITH HIM, OR WITH HIM AND PRES MARCOS, TO SUPPLEMENT THE REPLY WITH EXPLANATION OF SOME OF THE MORE SENSITIVE POINTS.
- 3. YOU COULD IN ORAL DISCUSSION INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING POINTS.
- (A) AS REGARDS RESPONSE TO THE FOURTH QUESTION ABOUT THE SECRET

PAGE 02 BOHEMI 19023 01 OF 02 261824Z

INDIAN OCEAN, YOU COULD POINT OUT THAT CHINA IS AMONG THOSE STATES WHICH HAVE SUPPORTED A STRONG US ROLE IN THE INDIAN OCEAN. (B) THE REPLY TO THE SIXTH QUESTION MAKES NO REFERENCE TO POSSIBLE US AND GOP DIFFERENCES IN INTERPRETATION OF THE MDT. YOU COULD GIVE MARCOS THE STATEMENT OF US POSITION YOU

MADE TO ROMUALDEZ AT YOUR MEETING ON JULY 3 (MANILA 9675, PARA 5).

4. FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF PROPOSED REPLY FOR YOUR SIGNATURE TO ROMUALDEZ: (BEGIN TEXT) EXCELLENCY:

I WELCOME THIS OPPORTUNITY TO EXCHANGE VIEWS ON SECURITY ISSUES OF MUTUAL CONCERN IN REPLYING TO YOUR LETTER OF JUNE 21

THE UNITED STATES, LIKE THE REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES, IS A NATION OF THE PACIFIC BASIN AND HAS A VITAL STAKE IN ASIA. I AM CONFIDENT THAT THE REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SHARES OUR VIEW THAT OUR MUTUAL SECURITY RELATIONSHIP SERVES HIGHLY IMPORTANT INTERESTS OF BOTH OUR COUNTRIES. INDEED, THE PRESIDENTS OF THE PHILIPPINES AND OF THE UNITED STATES, IN THEIR JOINT COMMUNIQUE OF DEC 7, 1975 STATED "THEY CONSIDERED THAT THE TREATY OF AUG 30, 1951, ENHANCED THE DEFENSE OF BOTH COUNTRIES, STRENGTHENED THE SECURITY OF THE PACIFIC REGION, AND CONTRIBUTED TO THE MAINTENANCE OF WORLD PEACE. THEY AGREED THAT THE MILITARY BASES USED BY THE UNITED STATES IN THE PHILIPPINES REMAIN IMPORTANT IN MAINTAINING AN EFFECTIVE US PRESENCE IN THE WESTERN PACIFIC IN SUPPORT OF THESE MUTUAL OBJECTIVES."

EQUILIBRIUM IN THE PACIFIC, WHERE MAJOR POWER CONCONCERS INTERSECT, IS ESSENTIAL BOTH TO THE UNITED STATES AND TO THE OTHER COUNTRIES OF THE PACIFIC, AND IT CAN NEIGHTER BE CREATED NOR SUSTAINED WITHOUT AMERICAN STRENGTH. AS YOU KNOW, WE BELIEVE WE CAN CONTRIBUTE AS WELL BY SUPPORTING THE EFFORTS OF OUR LONG STANDING ALLIES AND FRIENDS IN ASIA IN THEIR EFFORTS TO PRESERVE THEIR SOVEREIGNTY, DEFEND THEIR INDEPENDENCE, DEVELOP THEIR ECONOMIES AND STRENGTHEN THEIR REGIONAL ASSOCIATIONS. PRES DORD HAS LAID PARTICULAR STRESS ON OUR CONTINUING STAKE IN STABILITY AND SECURITY IN SOUTHEAST ASIA, NOTING THAT THE REP OF THE PHILIPPINES IS ONE OF OUR OLDEST ALLIES AND THAT SECRET

PAGE 03 BOHEMI 19023 01 OF 02 261824Z

OUR FREIENDSHIP DEMONSTRATES OUR LONG STANDING INTEREST IN ASIA.

I. THE FIRST QUESTION POSED IN YOUR LETTER OF JUNE 21 RE LATED TO THE BASIC STRATEGY OF THE UNITED STATES IN THE WESTERN PACIFIC AND THE ROLE OF THE BASES IN THE PHILIPPINES.

THE BASIC OBJECTIVE OF THE US DEFENSE STRATEGY IN THE PACIFIC IS CLEARLY STATED IN PRES FORD'S PACIFIC DOCTRINE --THE PRESERVATION OF REGIONAL PEACE AND STABILITY. WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THAT DOCTRINE AND THE US DEFENSE STRATEGY IT DESCRIBES, THE BASE FACILITIES IN THE PHILIPPINES USED BY THE UNITED STATES AFFORD MUTUAL BENEFIT TO BOTH THE UNITED STATES AND THE REP OF THE PHILIPPINES. THESE FACILITIES ARE A KEY ELEMENT IN

SUPPORT OF THE AMERICAN DEFENSE COOMITMENT TO THE PHILIPPINES AS EMBODIED IN THE MUTUAL DEFENSE TRATY. ADDITIONALLY, THEY CONSTITUTE AN IMPORTANT LINK IN OUR DEFENSE POSTURE IN THE PACIFIC, ANDTHIS FORMS PART OF THE STRATEGIC BALANCE IN THE REGION FROM WHICH BOTH THE PHILIPPINES AND THE UNITED STATES--ASWELL AS THE OTHER COUNTRIES OF THE REGION--DERIVE BENEFITS.

US FORCES IN EAST ASIA AND THE BASES FROM WHICH THEY OPERATE SIGNIFY IN A VISIBLE WAY OUR RESOLVE TO CONTINUE TO PLAY A MAJOR ROLE IN THE REGIONAL BALANCE AND PROVIDE US A CAPACITY TO PERFORM THAT FUNCTION. IN ADDITION TO THEIR DETERRENT FUNCTION, THEY PERFORM DEFENSE NAVAL MISSIONS; THEY PERMIT US TO MEET COROLLARY REQUIREMENTS SUCH AS COMMUNICATIONS AND LOGISTICAL MAINTENANCE CAPABILITIES.

II. THE SECOND QUESTION RELATED TO PERCEIVED THREATS IN THE REGION AND THE POSSIBILITY OF HOSTILITIES.

UNLIKE THE EUROPEAN THEATER OF OPERATIONS WHERE PRECISE LINES ARE DRAWN AND THE MILITARY THREAT IS WELL DEFINED, THE PACIFIC REGION IS CHARACTERIZED BY GREATER UNCERTAINTIES INHERENT IN THE FLUID STATE OF REGIONAL DEVEOPMENTS, NOT LEAST THE FUTURE CONTOURS OF SINO-SOVIET RELATIONS, THE INTENTIONS OF THE NEW REGIMES IN INDOCHINA, AND THE OBJECTIVES THE SOVIET UNION MAY CHOOSE TO PURSUE IN ASIA AS ITS CAPACITY TO PROJECT FORCE IN THE AREA GROWS OVER TIME.

SECRET

PAGE 04 BOHEMI 19023 01 OF 02 261824Z

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT MILITARY FORCES BE AVAILABLE IN THE AREA TO BALANCE THE CAPABILITIES OF POTENTIALLY HOSTILE POWERS AND TO BE ABLE TO RESPOND TO A WIDE RANGE OF CONTINGENT SIT-UATIONS WHICH MIGHT ENDANGER REGIONAL STABILITY.

III. THE THIRD QUESTION RELATES TO US COMMITMENTS OR NATIONAL POLICIES REGARDING INDONESIA, THAILAND, MALAYSIA, SINGAPORE, KOREA, JAPAN AND AUSTRALIA.

THE UNITED STATES HAS BILATERAL DEFENSE TREATY COMMITMENTS WITH JAPAN, JOREA, TAIWAN AND THE PHILIPPIINES. WE ALSO HAVE MULTILATERAL DEFENSE TREATY COMMITMENTS WITH AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND ANDPURSUANT TO THE MANILA TREATY WITH THESE COUNTRIES AS WELL AS WITH THAILAND AND THE PHILIPPINES. WE HAVE REPEATEDLY AFFIRMED OUR DETERMINATION TO MAINTAIN THESE MUTUAL COMMITMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR CONSTITUTIONAL PROCESSES. OUR FOWARD MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS IN THE PACIFIC AREA, INCLUDING OUR FORCES IN THE PHILIPPINES, ARE A CLEAR-CUT MANIFESTATION OF OUR INTENTIONS IN THIS REGARD.

WE HAVE NO DEFENSE COMMITMENTS TO INDONESIA, MALAYSIA, AND SINGAPORE. BUT WE ACTIVVELY SUPPORT THE EFFORTS OF THESE NATIONS TO PROTECT THEIR SECURITY,, PRESERVE THEIR SOVEREIGNTY,

AND MAINTAIN THEIR INDEPENDENCE. OUR SUPPORT HAS BEEN MANIFEST IN SECURITY ASSISTANCE AND MILITARY SALES PROGRAMS DESIGNED TO HELP THEM ACHIEVE INCREASING SELF-RELIANCE FOR DEFENSE AGAINST EITHER EXTERNAL OR INTERNAL THREATS. WE HAVE CONSISTENTLY ENDORSED THE EFFORTS OF FRIENDLY NATIONS IN SOUTHEAST ASIA TO STRENGTHEN THEIR REGIONAL ASSOCIATIONS, SUCH AS ASEAN.

IV. THE FOURTH QUESTION FELATED TO US POLICIES IN THE INDIAN OCEAN AND DEFENSE FACILITIES IN DIEGO GARCIA.

THE US IS CONCERNED WITH REGIONAL STABILITY AND MILITARY BALANCE IN THE INDIAN OCEAN AREA. FURTHER MORE, THE SECURITY OF THE INDIAN OCEAN SEA ROUTES IS VITAL TO THE COOTINUED SUPPLY OF MIDDLE EASTERN OIL TO THE UNITED STATES AND ITS ALLIES, BOTH IN EUROPE AND ASIA. A US ROLE IN THE INDIAN OCEAN HAS THUS BEEN WELCOMED BY WESTERN EUROPE, AUSTRALIA AND JAPAN AS WELL AS BY THE PRC AND CERTAIN REGIONAL STATES SECRET

PAGE 05 BOHEMI 19023 01 OF 02 261824Z

IN EAST ASIA (INCLUDING SOME ASEAN MEMBERS) ON THE OTHER.

THE US HAS ONLY LIMITED FORCES BASED PERMANENTLY IN THE INDIAN OCEAN AT BAHRAIN IN THE PERSIAN GULF. WE THEREFORE DRAW PRIMARILY ON FORCES FROM OUTSIDE THE REGION TO DEPLOY NAVAL STRENGTH INTO THE REGION. THE FACILITIES AT DIEGO GARCIA ENABLE US TO SUPPORT THESE DEPLOYMENTS MORE EFFICIENTLY AND ECONOMICALLY, BUT ARE ONLY SUPPLEMENTARY TO OUR BASES IN THE PHILIPPIINES WHICH FACILITATE PERIODIC NAVAL DEPLOYMENTS TO THE INDIAN OCEAN AND SUPPORT THE EFFICIENT RESUPPLY OF OUR OPERATIONS THERE. IN GENERAL, THE PACIFIC RESUPPLY ROUTE WITH ITS KEY LINKS AT CLARK AND SUBIC IS THE BEST ALTERNATIVE TO THE ATLANTIC ROUTE INTO THE INDIAN OCEAN.

V. THE FIFTH QUESTION ASKED HOW THE USE OF FACILITIES IN THE PHILIPPINE BASES IS RELATED TO US BASES IN OTHER COUNTRIES.

BASES IN THE PHILIPPIINES COMPLEMENT OUR OTHER FACILITIES
IN THE REGION IN AN INTERLOCKING NETWORK, A NETWORK WHICH PROVIDES THE CAPABILITU TO REACT QUICKLY AND TO RESPOND TO
CHANGING CONDITIONS. PHILIPPINES BASES PROVIDE IMPORTANT
REPAIR FACILITIES, AFFORD A SUPPORTING LINK IN ANTI-SUBMARINE
OPERATIONS, AND PROVIDE COMMUNICATIONS AS WELL AS COMMAND AND
CONTROL LIKES FOR OUR PACIFIC AND INDIAN OCEAN FACILITIES.
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BASES IN THE NETWORK IS ONE OF
COMPLEMENTARY AND IN SOME CASES NECESSARY REDUNDANCY SUCH
AS WITH COMMUNIATIONS. WE BELIEVE THAT TO MAINTAIN A READY
POSTURE WE MUST CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN THE FLEXIBILITY NECESSARY
TO ADJUST THIS INTERLOCKING SET OF BASE FACILITIES TO ADAPT
TO NATIONAL ND REGIONAL CHANGES.

VI. THE SIXTH QUESTION ASKED WHAT ARE THE REAL OBLIGATIONS

OF THE UNITED STATES UNDER OUR MUTUAL DEFENSE TREATY.

THE US BELIEVES THAT THE MUTUAL DEFENSE TREATY EXPRESSES THE REAL MUTUAL DEFENSE INTERESTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF BOTH GOVERNMENTS AS MUCH TODAY AS WHEN IT ENTERED INTO FORCE IN 1952. WE CONSIDER OUR OBLIGATIONS TO BE AS FOLLOWS:

--TO UNDERTAKE TO SETTLE INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES BY PEACEFUL MEANS.

SECRET

PAGE 06 BOHEMI 19023 01 OF 02 261824Z

KISSINGER

SECRET

PAGE 01 BOHEMI 19023 02 OF 02 261813Z

44

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 CCO-00 /026 W ----- 020782

P 241750Z JUL 76 FM USDEL BOHEMIAN TO AMEMBASSY MANILA PRIORITY INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 0000

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 SECTO 19023

EXDIS

--TO SEPARATELY AND JOINTLY MAINTAIN AND DEVELOP THE CAP ACITY
TO RESIST ARMED ATTACK;

- --TO CONSULT REGARDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TREATY;
- --THE RECOGNIZE THAT AN ARMED ATTACK IN THE PACIFIC AREA ON EITHER OF THE PARTIES WOULD BE DANGEROUS TO ITS PEACE AND SAFETY AND TO ACT TO MEET THE COMMON DANGER.

FORCES AND BASES N THE PHILIPPINES EHANCE MEASURABLY THE ABILITY OF THE UNITES STATES TO HONOR THESE COMMITMENTS AND ARE A CONCRETE MANIFESTATION OF OUR INTENTION TO DO SO.

VII. THE SEVENTH QUESTION RELATED TO THE SPECIFIC MISSIONS AND COMPONENTS OF THE US FORCES IN THE PHILIPPINES.

A. THE MISSION OF COMMANDER, US NAVAL BASE, SUBIC BASE IS AS FOLLOWS:

"TO RPVIDE, THROUGH THE EXERCISE OF COORDINATION OF SHORE ACTIVITIES IN THE SUBIC BAY/CUBI POINT COMPLEX ASSIGNED TO THE AREA COORDINATION OF COMMANDER, US NAVAL BASE, SUBIC BAY, COMPLETE LOGISTIC SUPPORT TO OPERATING FLEET UNITES, TRANSIENT VESSELS AND OTHER NAVAL ACTIVITIES IN THE PHILIPPINES AS DIRECTED; AND LIMITED SUPPORT TO THE MILITARY SECRET

PAGE 02 BOHEMI 19023 02 OF 02 261813Z

ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND TO OTHER SERVICES OF THE US GOVT AS DIRECTED BY THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF US PACIFIC FLEET OR HIGHER AUTHORITY; TO EXERCISE COMMAND OF ASSIGNED SHORE ACTIVITIES LOCATED INTHE SUBIC BAY/CUBI POINT COMPLEX WHICH INCLUDES LAND AREAS IN THE PROVINCES OF ZAMBALES AND BATAAN AND THE WATER AREA OF SUBIC BAY RESERVED FOR NAVAL PURPOSES AND CONTROLLED BY US NAVY PURSUANT TO THE UNITED STATES/PHILIPPINES MILITARY BASES AGREEMENT.

COMMANDER US NAVAL BASE SUBJIC BAY ALSO FUNCTIONS AS COMMANDER US NAVAL FORCES, PHILIPPINES WITH AREA COORDINAAION RESPONSIBILITIES AS FOLLOWS: "IMMEDIATE AREA COORDINATION FOR ALL PERSONNEL IN THE NAVAL SERVICE LOCATED ASHORE AND FOR SHORE ACTIVITIES IN OTHER AREAS AS DESIGNED BY COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF, US PACIFIC FLEET INCLUDING THOSE ASSIGNED TO THE LOCAL AREA COORDINATION OF COMMANDER US NAVAL BASE, SUBIC LUZON, REP OF THE PHILIPPINES. LOCAL AREA COORDINATOR FOR ALL PERSONNEL IN THE NVAL SERVICE LOCAED ASHORE AND FOR ALL SHORE ACTIVITIES IN THE REP OF THE PHILIPPINES."

B. THE MISSION COMMANDER, THIRTEENTH AIR FORCE IS AS FOLLOWS:

"PROVIDE COMMAND AND CONTROL OVER PACAF FORCES, UNITS AND RESOURCES LOCATED IN THE PHILIPPINES AND ELSEWHERE AS TASKED.

ORGANIZE, EQUIP, TRAIN AND ADMINISTER FORCES ASSIGNED OR ATTACHED AND MAINTAIN THEM AT A HIGH DEGREE OF COMBAT READINESS WHICH WILL INSURE SUCCESS OF AIR OPERATIONS DIRECTED BY HIGHER AUTHORITY.

PROVIDE ALERT AND NON-ALERT AIRCRAFT AND AIRCREWS FOR OFFENSIVE AND DEFENSIVE AIR OPERATIONS IN THE PACAF AREA.

BE READY TO DEPOLY AND PROVIDE AIR SUPERIORITY COVER AS DIRECTED AND MAINTAIN AIR DEFENSE ALERT OF THE PHILIPPINES ON A 24-HOUR BASIS.

MAINTAIN AND OPERATE THE AIR CONTROL AND WARNING FACILITIES AT WALLACE AIR STATION TO PROVIDE AIR DEFENSE AND AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL INFORMATION TO THE PHILIPPIINE AIR DEFENSE CONTROL SECRET

PAGE 03 BOHEMI 19023 02 OF 02 261813Z

CENTER, AND TO COORDINATE AND CONTROL AIR DEFENSE OPERATION AND TRAINING.

MAINTAIN AND AND OPERATE FACILITIES AT CAMP O'DONNELL AND CROW VALLEY BOMBING AND GUNNERY RANGE TO PROVIDE AIRCREW OPERATIONAL READINESS TRAINING.

MAINTAIN AND OPERATE AC&W AND DRONE LAUNCH FACILITIES AT WALLACE AIR STATION TO PROVIDE A CREW OPERATIONAL READINESS TRAINING.

MAINTAIN AND OPERATE JOHN HAY AIR BASE TO PROVIDE CONFERENCE FACILITIES, A REST AND RECREATIONAL AREA AND A COMMUNICATION SUPPORT FACILITY

PROVIDE COMPLETE LOGISTIC SUPPORT TO ALL UNITS AND PERSONNEL ASSIGNED, ATTACHED OR OPERATING SHROUGH CLARK AIR BASE, JOHN HAY AIR BASE AND WALLACE AIR STATION.

PROVIDE LOGISTIC SUPPORT IN ACCORDANCE WITH INTERSERVICE SUPPORT AGREEMENTS FOR THOSE DOD ACTIVITIES AND INTER-DEPARTMENTAL ACTIVITIES IN THE PHILIPPINES WITHIN THE THIRTEENTH AIR FORCE AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.

PLAN AAD CONDUCT A CIVIC ACTION PROGRAM IN SURROUNDING AREA

PROVIDE SUPPORT THAT WILL MAINTAIN ASSIGNED OR ATTACHED AIRLIFT FORCES AT A DEGREE OF COMBAT READINESS THAT WOULD INSURE EFFECTIVE OPERATIONAL CAPABILITIES AND WOULD PROVIDE INTERTHEATER AIRLIFT FOR ELEMENTS OF THE PACIFIC COMMAND."

C. INFORMATION ON COMPONENTS AND THEIR CAPABILITIES HAS BEEN PROVIDED IN CONSIDERABLE DEPTH TO THE PHILIPPINE PANAL THROUGH TOURS OF THE BASES AND DETAILED DISCUSSIONS DURING THE NEGOTIATIONS. ALSO DISCUSSED IN DETAIL DURING THE NEGOTIATIONS TO DATE, THEIR MISSIONS WILL BE IMPAIRED BY ANY REDUCTION IN AREA AND NUMBER OF FACILITIES IN A DEGREE COMMENSURATE WITH THE RESULTING LOSS OF FLEXIBILITY AND OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS INVOLVED."

SECRET

PAGE 04 BOHEMI 19023 02 OF 02 261813Z

VIII. THE EIGHTH QUESTION ASKED WHAT ARE THE PURPOSES AND OPTIMUM NUMBER OF FACILITIES NEEDED BY THE US FORCES AND EXPRESSED PHILIPPIINE CONCERN REGARDING POSSIBLE PREEMPTIVE STRIKES AGAINST ITS TERRITORY.

A CREDIBLE DETERRENT AGAINST AGGRESSIVE ACTS IN THE REGION REQUESTS NOT ONLY THE TIMELY DEPLOYMENT OF FORCES AGAINST A THREAT (OPERATIONAL CONTROL) BUT ALSO THE FACILITIES TO KEEP

FORCES IN A READY POSTURE. THESE FORCES MUST BE LOGISTICALLY SUPPORTED IN A FORWARD LOCATION (ADEQUATE BBASE REPAIR AND LOGISTIFAL FACILITIES), BE PROVIDED WITH CAPABILITIES TO MAINTAIN COMBAT READINESS (TRAINING AREAS), BE CONTROLLED THROUGH A RELIABLE COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES), AND BE MANNED WITH HIGHLY MOTIVATED, DISCIPLINED AND PHYICALLY CONDITIONED PERSONNEL (SUPPORT, RECREATION AND WELFARE FACILITIES).

OUR DELEGATIONS ARE NOW DISCUSSING IN DETAIL THE QUESTION OF FACILITIES TO BE USED BY US FORCES. THE US NEGOTIATING PANEL WILL CONTINUE TO DISCUSS THE ADJUSTMENTS THAT WE THINK CAN BE MADE WITHOUT WEAKENING THE CAPABILITIES OF OUR MILITARY FORCES AND THUS PREJUDICING OUR ABILITY TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEFENSE OF THE PHILIPPINES.

WE SHARE YOUR CONCERN THAT PHILIPPINE TERRITORY NOT BECOME A TARGET OF A HOSTILE ATTACK. THE US MAINTAINS A WORLDWIDE STRATEGIC NUCLEAR FORCE WHOSE MISSION IS TO DETER PREEMPRIVE STRIKES AGAINST OUR ALLIES AND OURSELVES. WHILE THESE FORCES CONTRIBUTE TO THE SECURITY OF THE PHILIPPINES, THEY ARE NOT THEMSELVES LOCATED THERE. HENCE THE PHILIPPINES WOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO ANY PREEMPTIVE NUCLEAR STRIKES.

I HOPE THAT THESE RESPONSES TO YOUR QUESTIONS WILL AID IN UNDERSTANDINGG OUR POSITIONS... I BELIEVE THAT THEY ACCURATELY REFLECT THE MUTUALITY OF NTERESTS WE SHARE IN THESE AREAS. I HOPE THAT OUR JOINT RECOGNITION OF THE NEED FOR A STABLE AND SECURE BALANCE OF POWER IN ASIA WILL CONTRIBUTE TO THE EARLY CONCLUSION OF AN AGREEMENT REFLECTING OUR MUTUAL INTERESTS AND PURPOSES.

/S/ AMB WILLIAM H SULLIVAN (END TEXT)

SECRET

PAGE 05 BOHEMI 19023 02 OF 02 261813Z

5. IT SHOULD BE EMPHASIZED TO ROMUALDEX THAT CONTENTS OF THIS LETTER, PARTICULARLY ANSWER TO SEVENTH QUESTION, ARE SECRET AND SHOULD NOT BE PUBLICIZED.
KISSINGER

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 30 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 24 JUL 1976 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: greeneet
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976BOHEMI19023

Document Number: 1976BOHEMI19023
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: BOHEMIAN Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t197607106/baaaauyx.tel Line Count: 422

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: CSE

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 8

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET **Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS** Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: greeneet

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: Review Date: 02 JUN 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <02 JUN 2004 by ifshinsr>; APPROVED <27 SEP 2004 by greeneet>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE Subject: n/a TAGS: MARR, RP To: MANILA INFO STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006